Lecture 16: Conditions, decisions, and loops

David Hovemeyer

October 25, 2023

601.428/628 Compilers and Interpreters



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @



Conditions

Decisions

- Loops
- Additional considerations

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Conditions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

- ► A condition is an expression used as a truth value
- ▶ In C, any integer or pointer value can be used as a condition
 - Integer: 0 is false, non-zero values are true
 - Pointer: null pointer is false, non-null pointers are true
- Relational operators compare integer or pointer values to produce a truth value
 - <, >, ==, !=, etc.
- Logical operators operate on truth values
 - ▶ &&. ||, !
- All relational and logical operators yield an int value which is required to be either 1 (true) or 0 (false)

Conditions are used for two related but distinct purposes:

- 1. To compute a truth value (1 or 0) as a data value
- 2. To control execution (i.e., when used in a control construct such as if, if/else, a while loop, etc.)

In general, these uses require somewhat different code generation strategies.

Recommendation: generate code for conditions to compute a boolean data value. When the result of a condition is used in a control structure (decision or loop), check whether the computed data value is true or false.

This approach will generate *slightly* convoluted code, but

- \blacktriangleright it avoids special cases for purpose #1 vs. #2
- the generated code will be easy to simplify later on

The high-level IR has dedicated instructions for relational operators. These operators behave much like other ALU instructions: there are two source operands and one destination operand.

E.g., cmplt_l compares two 32-bit signed integers and

- stores the value 1 in the destination if the first source operand is less than the second source operand, and
- stores the value 0 in the destination otherwise

/* Store 1 in vr15 if vr10 < vr11, otherwise store 0 in vr15 */
cmplt_l vr15, vr10, vr11</pre>

/* C code */
int a, b, c;
a = read_i32();
b = read_i32();

c = a < b;

print_i32(c); // prints 0 or 1

/* gener	ated high-level IR */
call	read_i32
mov_l	vr13, vr0
mov_l	vr10, vr13
call	read_i32
mov_l	vr14, vr0
mov_l	vr11, vr14
cmplt_l	vr15, vr10, vr11
mov_l	vr12, vr15
mov_l	vr1, vr12
call	print_i32

Note: a is vr10, b is vr11, c is vr12

The high-level IR has two conditional jump instructions, cjmp_t (conditional jump if true) and cjmp_f (conditional jump if false.)

These instructions consume the boolean value computed by a comparison in order to conditionally transfer control to a target instruction.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

Condition as controlling execution

/* C code */ int i, n, sum; $n = read_{i32()};$ i = 0;sum = 0;while (i < n) { sum = sum + i;i = i + i;} print_i32(sum);

/* hi	gh-level	IR */			Note: i is
	call	read_:	i32		n is vr11,
	mov_l	vr13,	vr0		vr12
	mov_l	vr11,	vr13	1	
	mov_l	vr14,	\$0		
	mov_l	vr10,	vr14		
	mov_l	vr15,	\$0		
	mov_l	vr12,	vr15		
	jmp	.L1			
.L0:	add_l	vr13,	vr12,	vr1	.0
	mov_l	vr12,	vr13		
	mov_l	vr14,	\$1		
	add_l	vr15,	vr10,	vr1	.4
	mov_l				
.L1:	cmplt_l	vr14,	vr10,	vr1	.1
	cjmp_t	vr14,	.LO		
	mov_l	vr1, v	vr12		
	call	print	_i32		

Note: i is vr10, n is vr11, sum is vr12 In x86-64, the set X instructions set an 8-bit register to 1 or 0 based on testing the condition codes set by a previous ALU instruction (usually cmp.) X represents the equality or inequality being tested.

For example, the code

cmpl %r11d, %r10d setl %al

would set the 8-bit %al register to 1 if the 32-bit signed value in %r10d is less than the 32-bit signed value in %r11d, and set %al to 0 otherwise.

Zero-extending the 8-bit value resulting from a set X instruction yields a 32-bit int value that is either 1 or 0, which can be the result of the condition.

Note that the low-level code generator allocated storage for vr10, vr11, and vr14 as (respectively) -48(%rbp), -40(%rbp), and -16(%rbp).

Using a condition for control flow in low-level code

If every condition yields a boolean value, control flow can be implemented by

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- comparing the computed boolean value to 0, and then
- executing a conditional jump

Using a condition for control flow in low-level code (example)

```
/* in high-level IR */
cmplt_l vr14, vr10, vr11
cjmp t vr14, .L0
```

```
/* in low-level IR */
movl -48(%rbp), %r10d /* cmplt_l vr14, vr10, vr11 */
cmpl -40(%rbp), %r10d
setl %r10b
movzbl %r10b, %r11d
movl %r11d, -16(%rbp)
cmpl $0, -16(%rbp) /* cjmp_t vr14, .L0 */
jne .L0
```

Note that the low-level code generator allocated storage for vr10, vr11, and vr14 as (respectively) -48(%rbp), -40(%rbp), and -16(%rbp).

Simplifying control flow

Peephole optimization can be very effective at simplifying idioms in generated code, including simplifying code generated for control flow. For example:

```
/* Prior to peephole optimization */
movl %r12d, %r10d /* cmplt_l vr14<%r9d>, vr10, vr11 */
cmpl %r13d, %r10d
setl %r10b
movzbl %r10b, %r11d
movl %r11d, %r9d
cmpl $0, %r9d /* cjmp_t vr14<%r9d>, .L0 */
jne .L0
/* After weak large to the term of /*
```

/* After peephole optimization */
cmpl %r13d, %r12d /* cmplt_l vr14<%r9d>, vr10, vr11 */
jl .L0

(Note that in the generated code, the register allocator has assigned CPU registers as storage for the virtual registers used.)

Decisions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

A *decision* makes a choice about a condition or other data value to conditionally-execute code.

Examples: if statements, if/else statements, switch statements.

The high-level code generator should generate labels (.L0, .L1, etc.) for the conditionally-executed code as necessary. These will be targets of unconditional and conditional jump instructions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○ ◆○◆

if statements

```
/* C code */
int a, b;
a = read_i32();
b = read_i32();
if (a < b) {
    print_i32(42);
}
...rest of code...</pre>
```

Check condition, conditional branch

/*	high-leve	1 IR */	
	call	read_i32	
	mov_l	vr12, vr0	
	mov_l	vr10, vr12	
	call	read_i32	
	mov_l	vr13, vr0	
	mov_l	vr11, vr13	
	cmplt_l	vr14, vr10, vr11	
	cjmp_f	vr14, .LO	
	mov_l	vr12, \$42	
	mov_l	vr1, vr12	
	call	print_i32	
.LC):		
	rest	of code	

Note: a is vr10, b is vr11

if statements

```
/* C code */
int a, b;
a = read_i32();
b = read_i32();
if (a < b) {
    print_i32(42);
}
...rest of code...</pre>
```

Body of if statement

/*	high-leve	1 TR */
	•	
	call	read_i32
	mov_l	vr12, vr0
	mov_l	vr10, vr12
	call	read_i32
	mov_l	vr13, vr0
	mov_l	vr11, vr13
	cmplt_l	vr14, vr10, vr11
	cjmp_f	vr14, .LO
	mov_l	vr12, \$42
	mov_l	vr1, vr12
	call	print_i32
L():	

...rest of code...

Note: a is vr10, b is vr11

if/else statements

/* C code */
int a, b;
a = read_i32();
b = read_i32();
if (a < b) {
 print_i32(42);
} else {
 print_i32(17);
}
...rest of code...</pre>

Check condition, conditional branch

<pre>/* high-leve call mov_l mov_l call mov_l mov_l mov_l mov l</pre>	read_i: vr12, v vr10, v read_i: vr13, v	vr0 vr12 32 vr0	Note: b is vr	
			vr11	
cjmp_f	vr14,	.L1		
mov_l	vr12, S	\$42		
mov_l	vr1, vi	r12		
call	print_:	i32		
jmp	.LO			
.L1:				
mov_l	vr12, S	\$17		
mov_l	vr1, vi	r12		
call	print_:	i32		
.L0:				
rest	of code			

Note: a is vr10, o is vr11

if/else statements

/* C code */
int a, b;
a = read_i32();
b = read_i32();
if (a < b) {
 print_i32(42);
} else {
 print_i32(17);
}
...rest of code...</pre>

"If true" and "if false"' blocks

/* high-leve	el IR */	
call	read_i32	Note:
mov_l	vr12, vr0	b is vi
mov_l	vr10, vr12	
call	read_i32	
mov_l	vr13, vr0	
mov_l	vr11, vr13	
cmplt_l	vr14, vr10,	vr11
cjmp_f	vr14, .L1	
mov_l	vr12, \$42	
mov_l	vr1, vr12	
call	print_i32	
jmp	.L0	
.L1:		
mov_l	vr12, \$17	
mov_l	vr1, vr12	
call	print_i32	
.L0:		
rest	of code	

Note: a is vr10, o is vr11

if/else statements

/* C code */ int a, b; a = read_i32(); b = read i32();if (a < b) { print i32(42); } else { print_i32(17); } ...rest of code...

Avoid fall-through from "if true" to "if false" block

	gh-leve]								
	all	read_i		Not	te:	a is	vr1	0,	
m	ov_l	vr12,	vr0	b is					
m	ov_l	vr10,	vr12	0 13	S VI	ΤT			
с	all	read_i	i32						
m	ov_l	vr13,	vr0						
m	ov_l	vr11,	vr13						
с	mplt_l	vr14,	vr10,	vr11					
с	jmp_f	vr14,	.L1						
m	ov_l	vr12,	\$42						
m	ov_l	vr1, v	r12/						
с	all	print_	_i32						
j	mp	.LO							
.L1:									
m	ov_l	vr12,	\$17						
m	ov_l	vr1, v	r12/						
с	all	print_	i32						
.LO:									
	rest d	of code	9	< • • • • • •		E≯ -	()	111	৩৫৫

A switch statement could be translated into an equivalent series of if/else if statements:

```
int a;
a = ....some value...;
switch (a) {
case 0:
  ...code...
  break;
case 1:
case 2:
  ...code...
  break;
default:
  ...code...
}
```

```
int a;
a = ...some value...;
if (a == 0) {
   ...code...
} else if (a == 1 || a == 2) {
   ...code...
} else {
   ...code...
}
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

If the values of the cases are "dense" within a range, a switch statement can be compiled as a *jump table*. The idea:

- 1. An array is allocated where each entry contains the code address of the first instruction in a case
- 2. The switched value is converted into an index into this array (generally by subtracting the value of the minimum case value)
- 3. Executing the correct case means retrieving the code address from the array using the computed index, and jumping to that instruction

A jump table is O(1) rather than O(N), where N is the number of cases.

Loops

シックシード エード・エー・ ヘッ・

A while loop is the most general kind of loop in C.

Suggested code generation strategy:

The code to check loop condition should be labeled and generated at the end of the loop body; it conditionally jumps to the beginning of the loop body if the condition evaluates as true

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

► To enter the loop, jump to the code which checks the loop condition

```
/* C code */
while (i < n) {
 sum = sum + i;
 i = i + 1;
}
...rest of code...
/* High-level IR */
   jmp .L1
.LO:
   add 1 vr13, vr12, vr10
   mov l vr12, vr13
   mov l vr14, $1
   add 1 vr15, vr10, vr14
   mov_l vr10, vr15
.L1:
   cmplt l vr14, vr10, vr11
   cjmp_t vr14, .L0
    ...rest of code...
```

```
Note: i is vr10, n is
vr11, sum is vr12
```

```
/* C code */
while (i < n) {
   sum = sum + i;
   i = i + 1;
}
...rest of code...</pre>
```

/* High-leve	el IR */	
jmp	.L1	
.L0:		
add_1	vr13, vr12, vr10	
mov_l	vr12, vr13	
mov_l	vr14, \$1	
add_1	vr15, vr10, vr14	
mov_l	vr10, vr15	
.L1:		
cmplt_l	vr14, vr10, vr11	
cjmp_t	vr14, .LO	
rest	of code	

Enter loop by jumping to the loop condition check

```
Note: i is vr10, n is
vr11, sum is vr12
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

```
/* C code */
while (i < n) {
  sum = sum + i;
  i = i + 1;
}
... rest of code...
/* High-level IR */
           .L1
    jmp
.LO:
   add l vr13, vr12, vr10
   mov l vr12, vr13
   mov l vr14, $1
    add 1 vr15, vr10, vr14
   mov_l vr10, vr15
.L1:
    cmplt l vr14, vr10, vr11
   cjmp_t vr14, .LO
    ... rest of code...
```

Check loop condition, jump to top of loop if condition is true

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Note: i is vr10, n is vr11, sum is vr12

```
/* C code */
while (i < n) {
 sum = sum + i;
 i = i + 1;
}
...rest of code...
/* High-level IR */
   jmp .L1
.LO:
   add_l vr13, vr12, vr10
   mov l vr12, vr13
   mov_l vr14, $1
   add 1 vr15, vr10, vr14
   mov_l vr10, vr15
.L1:
   cmplt l vr14, vr10, vr11
   cjmp_t vr14, .L0
    ... rest of code...
```

Execute body of loop

```
Note: i is vr10, n is
vr11, sum is vr12
```

・ロト・国ト・モート ヨー うらぐ

A do/while loop is mostly the same as a while loop. The main difference is that you would omit the unconditional jump to the loop condition check that you would use to enter a while loop.

```
/* C code */
do {
   sum = sum + i;
   i = i + i;
} while (i < n);
...rest of code...</pre>
```

```
/* High-level IR */
.L0:
    add_l vr13, vr12, vr10
    mov_l vr12, vr13
    add_l vr14, vr10, vr10
    mov_l vr10, vr14
    cmplt_l vr15, vr10, vr11
    cjmp_t vr15, .L0
    ...rest of code...
```

Note: i is vr10, n is vr11, sum is vr12

/* C code */
do {
 sum = sum + i;
 i = i + i;
} while (i < n);
...rest of code...</pre>

/* High-level IR */
.L0:
 add_l vr13, vr12, vr10
 mov_l vr12, vr13
 add_l vr14, vr10, vr10
 mov_l vr10, vr14
 cmplt_l vr15, vr10, vr11
 cjmp_t vr15, .L0
 ...rest of code...

Note: i is vr10, n is vr11, sum is vr12

Execute body of loop

/* C code */
do {
 sum = sum + i;
 i = i + i;
} while (i < n);
...rest of code...</pre>

/* High-level IR */				
.L0:				
add_l	vr13,	vr12,	vr10	
mov_l	vr12,	vr13		
add_l	vr14,	vr10,	vr10	
mov_l	vr10,	vr14		
cmplt_l	vr15,	vr10,	vr11	
cjmp_t	vr15,	.LO		
rest	of code	ə		

Note: i is vr10, n is vr11, sum is vr12

Check loop condition

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

A for loop is essentially the same as a while loop. The only difference is that a variable can be initialized before the loop starts, and an update is automatically executed at the end of each loop iteration.

```
/* for loop */
for (initialization; condition; update) {
    body
}
/* equivalent while loop */
initialization
while (condition) {
    body
    update
}
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Additional considerations

In general, if a conditional branch (e.g., cjmp_t) is not taken, control will "fall through" to the next instruction sequentially.

When an InstructionSequence is converted to a control-flow graph, these "fall through" control edges are potentially problematic.

The reason is that basic blocks connected by a fall-through edge must be adjacent when converted from a graph back to a linear sequence of instructions

It's not a bad idea to insert explicit jmp instructions and labels to make fall-through edges explicit.

That way, the code works even if the basic blocks involved in the fall-through are not sequential when converted to a linear representation

Making fall-through edges explicit

/* hig	gh-level	L IR w:	ith	
* imj	plicit f	fall-th	nrough	*/
CI	nplt_l	vr14,	vr10,	vr11
c	jmp_f	vr14,	.L1	
mo	ov_l	vr12,	\$42	
mo	ov_l	vr1, v	vr12	
Ca	all	print.	_i32	
jı	np	.LO		
.L1:				
mo	ov_l	vr12,	\$17	
me	ov_l	vr1, v	vr12	
Ca	all	print	_i32	
.L0:				
	rest d	of code	ə	

<pre>/* high-level IR with * explicit fall-through */ cmplt_l vr14, vr10, vr11 cjmp_f vr14, .L1</pre>
jmp .L2
.L2:
mov_l vr12, \$42
mov_l vr1, vr12
call print_i32
jmp .LO
.L1:
mov_l vr12, \$17
mov_l vr1, vr12
call print_i32
.LO:
rest of code

The unnecessary jmp instructions inserted to make fall-through explicit can be easily detected and removed during code optimization.